Summary of Questionnaire for JAFoS Symposium 2010

America participants	25		
Japanese participants	38		

1. Please indicate your role in this symposium:

Planning Group Member	10	
Chair/ Speaker	20	
General Participant	33	

2. Please rate the following aspects of the symposium

(5: excellent, 4: good, 3: acceptable, 2: poor, 1: very poor)

■ meeting format

	21
excellent	31
good	30
acceptable	2
poor	0
very poor	0

■length of time given to presentations

excellent	37	
good	22	
acceptable	4	
poor	0	
very poor	0	

■length of time given to specific discussions

excellent	35	
good	17	
acceptable	9	
poor	2	
very poor	0	

■level of science covered

excellent	28
good	28
acceptable	7
poor	0
very poor	0

■quality of presentations

excellent	
good	
acceptable	
poor	
very poor	

■quality of presentations

excellent	19
good	34
acceptable	8
poor	2
very poor	0

■ your opportunity to participate

excellent	36	
good	19	
acceptable	6	
poor	2	
very poor	0	

3. What do you think of the range of session topics?

too broad	9	
about right	52	
too narrow	2	

4. How do you find the symposium in terms of the following points of view?

■ Widening your scientific perspectives in general by thinking about issues related to fields other than your own.

very useful	45	
useful	14	
marginally useful	4	

■ Receiving new ideas or inspirations which you can apply to your future research

very useful	22	11 7 7	
useful	28		
marginally useful	13		

■Establishing new contacts with whom you may wish to pursue future work.

		 1		
very useful	24			
useful	25			
marginally useful	14			

5. Did you find the agenda book useful in your participation in this symposium?

very useful	19	
useful	35	
marginally useful	7	
no answer	2	

6. Based on your experience at this meeting, would you attend another symposium of this format again?

yes	56	
no	3	
no answer	4	