

Part 1

Please let us know how you evaluate this seminar so that we can improve our seminars in the future. Circle the letter from A to E that matches closest your opinion.

The letters stand for the following:

A = strongly agree

B = agree

C = neutral

D = disagree

E = completely disagree

* = no answer

Organization of the seminar	A	B	C	D	E	*
The time allotted to each part of the program was appropriate	18	9	2	1	0	1
The lecture and laboratory components were effectively linked	14	12	4	0	0	1
The size of the classes was optimal	23	6	2	0	0	0

Lectures	A	B	C	D	E	*
Sufficient time was allocated for the lectures	24	5	1	1	0	0
The lectures were useful in my research (interesting, stimulating and practical)	22	9	0	0	0	0
The level of the lectures relative to my educational background and experience was optimal	19	11	1	0	0	0

Laboratory Work	A	B	C	D	E	*
Sufficient time was allocated for the laboratory works	7	12	8	2	0	2
The laboratory works were useful in my research (interesting, stimulating and practical)	11	12	6	0	0	2
The level of the laboratory works relative to my educational background and experience was optimal	15	7	7	0	0	2

Discussions	A	B	C	D	E	*
Sufficient time was allocated for the discussions	15	9	2	4	0	1
The discussions were useful in my research (interesting, stimulating and practical)	18	7	3	0	0	2

Excursion	A	B	C	D	E	*
The excursion(s) was well organized	20	4	4	1	0	2

Lecturers	A	B	C	D	E	*
Lecturers gave equal treatment to all the participants	22	8	1	0	0	0
Lecturers respected the ideas, opinions and comments of the participants	22	8	1	0	0	0

Overall	A	B	C	D	E	*
I found my participation in the seminar to be meaningful	25	6	0	0	0	0
I was able to create and expand working networks with other researchers by participating in the seminar	23	8	0	0	0	0

Part 2

Please write your comments.

-The most successful aspect of this seminar:

- Report of some cutting-edge studies at the fringe of my normal studies.
- It was very well organized.
- Interaction with students at their poster.
- Movement between different locations.
- Time arrangement were really good, and all the lectures and program had been on time. And most of the fields in earth sciences were taken care of.
- Arranged science aspects, time management successfully.
- Interaction of students with experienced researchers
- Sessions and visit NIPR laboratories.
- Involvement of both students and senior faculty scientists, and develop contacts between scientists from India and Japan
- Pooling geologists from wider research background.
- Meeting different people from all over the world.
- The wide range of topics. That are diverse, but are collectively helpful. The latest techniques and ideas were presented.
- Successful for future international cooperation, successful for understanding other research field which has relationship with my main research field.
- Friendly discussions with high-level researchers

-The least successful aspect of this seminar (if possible, suggestion for improvement):

- Could have had a specific discussion session (30 min.) at the end of each day.
- Discussion could have been better arranged.
- There was a bit too much spare time or some days when delegates had nothing scheduled for an hour or so.
- The least successful of the seminar was the field work. It should have been managed in a better way and a better place. Should have been chosen for the interest of students from different fields.
- The fieldwork part could be made in some other places of geological interest.
- In the last 2 days at Niigata, the sessions were too cramped.
- Schedule was so tight, and it was so difficult to attend all the lectures back to back in one day.
- Exposure to cultural aspects was minimal.
- At the Niigata session, there was little time for the exchange between Japanese and Indian students,

-Other comments:

- Thank you for the organization of such a complex program
- Will be happy to join it again
- It is a great interactions among students
- A session at the end should have been dedicated for discussion about the outcome of the seminar for bilateral cooperation formation of joint working groups for promotion of scientific cooperation among Japanese and Indian research groups. This would have been useful to build long term collaborations.
- Each subject on theme regular meeting may be held alternatively in Japan and India.

Part 3

Your personal information

Age: A. 20-24 B. 25-29 C. 30-34 D. 35-39 E. over *: no answer

	A	B	C	D	E	*
Age	2	5	0	1	7	16

Title: A. Ph.D. student B. Postdoctoral Researcher C. Research Associate D. Lecturer
 E. Researcher F. Associate Professor G. Other () *: no answer

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	*
Title	8	1	0	1	0	2	6**	14

**The titles of all of six others are Professors

Research Field

- Geology
- Biogeochemistry
- Organic Biogeochemistry
- Metamorphism and Gondwana geology
- Geochemistry
- Geochronology
- Planetary Science
- Petrology
- Isotope Geochemistry
- Sedimentary Geology
- Numerical Modelling
- Tectonics