JCFoS Feedback Survey summary report

Response Summary	Count	Percent
Total Responses	44	100.0%
Complete Responses	44	100.0%
Incomplete Responses	0	0.0%

Participant Country		Count	Percent
Canadian Participant		21	47.7%
Japanese Participant		23	52.3%
	Times answered	44	100.0%
	Times skipped	0	0.0%
Participant Type		Count	Percent
Planning Group Member		10	22.7%

r lanning Group Member		10	22.1 /0
Introductory Speaker or Speaker		14	31.8%
General Participant		20	45.5%
	Times answered	44	100.0%
	Times skipped	0	0.0%

Ratings	Exceeded expectations	Meets expectations	Below expectations	No rating/co mment	o Average	Count	Percent
Meeting Format	25 (58.1%)	18 (41.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2.58	43	100.0%
Length of time given to presentations	12 (27.9%)	31 (72.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2.28	43	100.0%
Length of time given to discussion	16 (37.2%)	21 (48.8%)	6 (14.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2.23	43	100.0%
Quality and interest of science covered	26 (60.5%)	16 (37.2%)	1 (2.3%)	0 (0.0%)	2.58	43	100.0%
Quality of the session speakers	27 (62.8%)	16 (37.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2.63	43	100.0%
Quality of the poster session	9 (21.4%)	25 (59.5%)	6 (14.3%)	2 (4.8%)	2.08	42	97.7%
Your opportunity to participate	22 (51.2%)	17 (39.5%)	4 (9.3%)	0 (0.0%)	2.42	43	100.0%
Venue	38 (88.4%)	5 (11.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2.88	43	100.0%
				Т	imes answered	43	97.7%
					Times skipped	1	2.3%

Range of session topics	Count	Percent
Too broad to facilitate learning, discussion and/or interaction	2	4.5%
Appropriately broad in nature to facilitate learning, discussion and/or interaction	41	93.2%
Too narrow to facilitate learning, discussion and/or	1	2.3%

interaction						
				Times answered	44	100.0%
				Times skipped	0	0.0%
Which of the following activities were useful to you and your research?	Yes, very useful	Potentially useful	Not very useful	Average	Count	Percent
Information from presentations in your own discipline	27 (62.8%)	12 (27.9%)	4 (9.3%)	2.53	43	97.7%
Information from presentations in other disciplines	20 (45.5%)	23 (52.3%)	1 (2.3%)	2.43	44	100.0%
Information and/or connections made from informal discussions	32 (72.7%)	12 (27.3%)	0 (0.0%)	2.73	44	100.0%
Information and/or connections made from the poster session	17 (39.5%)	23 (53.5%)	3 (7.0%)	2.33	43	97.7%
				Times answered	44	100.0%
				Times skipped	0	0.0%
Usefulness of website					Count	Percent
Very useful					26	59.1%
Useful					15	34.1%
Marginally useful					3	6.8%
				Times answered	44	100.0%
				Times skipped	0	0.0%

Was the symposium usef about issues related to fi	Count	Percent		
Very useful		-	34	77.3%
Useful			9	20.5%
Marginally useful	 International Control of Contro		1	2.3%
		Times answered	44	100.0%
		Times skipped	0	0.0%

As a result of the symposium, do you expect to:	Yes	Possibly	No	Average	Count	Percent
Conduct new/different research?	10 (22.7%)	31 (70.5%)	3 (6.8%)	1.16	44	100.0%
Follow-up and/or work with new contacts/collaborators within or closely related to your field?	22 (50.0%)	18 (40.9%)	4 (9.1%)	1.41	44	100.0%
Follow-up and/or work with new contacts/collaborators in a discipline other than your own?	18 (40.9%)	20 (45.5%)	6 (13.6%)	1.27	44	100.0%
Follow-up and/or work with new contacts/collaborators in your home country?	22 (50.0%)	21 (47.7%)	1 (2.3%)	1.48	44	100.0%

Follow-up and/or work with new contacts/collaborators in another country?	12 (27.3%)	25 (56.8%)	7 (15.9%)	1.11	44	100.0%
Pursue new funding opportunities?	8 (18.2%)	25 (56.8%)	11 (25.0%)	0.93	44	100.0%
Work on new publications using insights and knowledge gleaned from the symposium or with new collaborators?	7 (16.7%)	25 (59.5%)	10 (23.8%)	0.93	42	95.5%
				Times answered	44	100.0%
				Times skipped	0	0.0%

Based on your experien format again?	Count	Percent	
Yes		40	93.0%
No		3	7.0%
	Times answered	43	97.7%
	Times skipped	1	2.3%
Are you interested in or Frontiers of Science Me	g Group Member (PGM) in a future	Count	Percent
N/		4.0	40 50/

	· J·			
Yes			10	43.5%
No			13	56.5%
		Times answered	23	52.3%
		Times skipped	21	47.7%