


# **12th Japanese-French Frontiers of Science (JFFoS) Symposium**



## **QUESTIONNAIRE results**


## 1. Please indicate if you are a Japanese or French participant:

|                      |           |
|----------------------|-----------|
| Japanese participant | 27        |
| French participant   | 27        |
| <b>Total</b>         | <b>54</b> |




## 2. Please indicate your role in this symposium (Japanese-French FoS 2026):

|                                 |           |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Planning Group Member           | 11        |
| Introductory Speaker or Speaker | 15        |
| General Participant             | 28        |
| <b>Total</b>                    | <b>54</b> |




## 3. Please rate the following aspects of the symposium

|                                              | very satisfied | satisfied | neither satisfied nor dissatisfied | dissatisfied | very dissatisfied | Total |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------|
| Cross-disciplinary Meeting format            | 33             | 19        | 2                                  | 0            | 0                 | 54    |
| Length of time given to presentations        | 30             | 24        | 0                                  | 0            | 0                 | 54    |
| Length of time given to specific discussions | 26             | 20        | 7                                  | 1            | 0                 | 54    |
| Length of time given to poster session       | 22             | 14        | 6                                  | 11           | 1                 | 54    |
| Level of science covered                     | 25             | 26        | 2                                  | 1            | 0                 | 54    |
| Quality of presentations                     | 30             | 21        | 2                                  | 1            | 0                 | 54    |
| Quality of discussion                        | 32             | 15        | 5                                  | 2            | 0                 | 54    |
| Quality of posters                           | 31             | 16        | 6                                  | 1            | 0                 | 54    |
| Your opportunity to participate              | 36             | 15        | 2                                  | 1            | 0                 | 54    |




#### 4. What do you think of the range of session topics?

|                 |           |
|-----------------|-----------|
| much too broad  | 1         |
| too broad       | 10        |
| about right     | 43        |
| too narrow      | 0         |
| much too narrow | 0         |
| <b>Total</b>    | <b>54</b> |




#### 5. Are the materials you have gathered useful to your research?

|                                                     | yes | maybe yes | no | <b>Total</b> |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----|-----------|----|--------------|
| Materials from presentations in your own discipline | 32  | 18        | 4  | 54           |
| Materials from presentations in other disciplines   | 16  | 34        | 4  | 54           |
| Materials from informal discussions                 | 32  | 22        | 0  | 54           |
| Materials from the poster sessions                  | 28  | 25        | 1  | 54           |




#### 6. Was the symposium useful in order to widen your scientific perspectives in general by thinking about issues related to fields other than your own?

|                   |           |
|-------------------|-----------|
| Extremely useful  | 18        |
| Very useful       | 31        |
| Somewhat          | 3         |
| Not so useful     | 2         |
| Not at all useful | 0         |
| <b>Total</b>      | <b>54</b> |




## 7. As a result of this symposium, how likely are you to:

|                                         | yes | maybe yes | no | Total |
|-----------------------------------------|-----|-----------|----|-------|
| conduct new / different research?       | 15  | 35        | 4  | 54    |
| work with new contacts / collaborators? | 19  | 33        | 2  | 54    |
| pursue new funding opportunities?       | 17  | 31        | 6  | 54    |
| work on new publications?               | 11  | 33        | 10 | 54    |




## 8. Please rate the electronic agenda book

|                     |           |
|---------------------|-----------|
| Extremely valuable  | 9         |
| Very valuable       | 26        |
| Moderately valuable | 12        |
| Slightly valuable   | 4         |
| Not valuable        | 3         |
| <b>Total</b>        | <b>54</b> |



## 9. Please rate the official website

|                     |           |
|---------------------|-----------|
| Extremely valuable  | 9         |
| Very valuable       | 28        |
| Moderately valuable | 14        |
| Slightly valuable   | 2         |
| Not valuable        | 1         |
| <b>Total</b>        | <b>54</b> |



## 10. Based on your experience at this meeting, would you attend another symposium of this format again?

|              |           |
|--------------|-----------|
| yes          | 48        |
| no           | 6         |
| <b>Total</b> | <b>54</b> |

