

外国人特別研究員(欧米短期)選考方法

1. 選考組織

公募による外国人特別研究員(欧米短期)の選考は、我が国における学界の第一線の研究者で構成される国際事業委員会において、書面審査及び合議による審査により行われています。

2. 審査方針

外国人特別研究員候補者の審査方針は、以下のとおりになっています。

- (1) この招へいが実施され、欧米諸国との交流が一層発展することが期待できること。
- (2) 招へいによって候補者と申請者双方の研究の推進が期待できること。
- (3) 申請者と候補者の事前交渉等が密接に行なわれ、研究計画が具体的であること。
- (4) 候補者の受入機関における受入体制が十分に整っていること。
- (5) 博士号取得前の者については、研究の発展性も考慮に入れること。
- (6) 採用者の受入機関、専門分野等は、なるべくかたよらないこと。
- (7) 書面審査の評点ばかりでなく、理由、意見等にも十分配慮すること。
- (8) 審査の判定は、採用・不採用の2種とすること。

3. 書面審査

書面審査については、1件の申請当たり、申請者が選択した書面審査区分に応じて上記委員会の専門委員である3人の審査員が書面審査を行います。

書面審査による評価は、研究業績、研究計画、推測される研究能力、将来性等を含めて総合的に判断したうえ、5段階の評点(5:採用を強く推奨する、4:採用を推奨する、3:採用しても良い、2:採用に躊躇する、1:採用を推奨しない)を付けます。

評点は、合議審査における基礎資料となります。

4. 合議審査

合議審査については、上記の書面審査の評点に基づき、合議審査区分ごとの採用配分数により採用内定者の選定を行います。

Selection Process for Applications Recruited in Japan under the JSPS Postdoctoral Fellowship (Short-term)

1. Selection of applicants (Japanese host researchers) recruited in Japan under the JSPS

Postdoctoral Fellowship (Short-term) is done by a selection committee established within JSPS and made up of the Japan's frontline researchers.

The selection is carried out through a document review in the first stage and a panel review in the second.

2. The fellowship's selection policy is as follows:

- a. That the implementation of the fellowship contributes to promoting exchange between Japan and countries of North America and Europe.
- b. That the fellowship helps to advance both the candidate's and the host's research work.
- c. That the host and candidate have established close communication and devised a concrete research plan.
- d. That host institution has adequately prepared a system for receiving the candidate.
- e. That in the research plan, consideration is given to honing the research skills of pre-doctoral candidates.
- f. That, as much as possible, preference is not be given to host institution or field of specialization in making selections.
- g. That not only the score of the document review but also the examiners' reasons and comments are to be given adequate consideration.
- h. That two selection judgements be made: (1) Selected and (2) Not-selected.

3. Document Review Section

Document reviews are conducted by three examiners for each application. Based on contents of the application, experts in the document review section are assigned to conduct the review.

In the document review, a graduated scoring system is used on a scale 1 to 5, with 5 (superlative), 4 (excellent), 3 (good), 2 (fair), and 1 (poor).

Marks are made on a comprehensive basis, taking into account research achievements, the research plan, estimated research capacity, and future potential of the research. The averaged score in the document review is used as the primary screening criterion in the panel review.

4. Panel Review Section

Based on the document review scores, applications are referred for panel review, through which a specified number of applicants are selected in each of the nine fields (Humanities; Social Sciences; Mathematical and Physical Sciences; Chemistry; Engineering Sciences; Informatics; Biological Sciences; Agricultural / Environmental Sciences; Medicine Dentistry and Pharmacy).